Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,059	KAJIHARA ET AL.	
_		
Examiner	Art Unit	
EXAMINER ERIC S. OLSON	Art Unit 1623	

	ERIC S. OLSON	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED March 9, 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 March 2009</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 	·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>none</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 2-8,12,13,15 and 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ided below or appended.	in be entered and an e.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached. 		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623	/Eric S Olson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623		